1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney							
2	United States Attorney JUSTIN J. GILIO Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721							
3								
4	Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099							
5	1 desimile. (337) 177 1077							
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America							
7	omed states of America							
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10								
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:24-CR-00033-JLT-BAM						
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;						
13	v.	AND ORDER						
14	JOSE DUENAS ET AL.,	DATE: 1/22/2025 TIME: 1:00 p.m.						
15	Defendants.	COURT: Hon. Barbara A. McAuliffe						
16								
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and							
18	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:							
19	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status conference on 1/22/2025.							
20	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until							
21	5/14/2025, and to exclude time between 1/22/2025, and 5/14/2025, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A),							
22	B(i) (ii), and (iv).							
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:							
24	a) The government has rep	resented that the discovery associated with this case						
25	includes wiretap recordings, thousands of pages of investigative reports, surveillance video,							
26	audio recordings, cell phone extractions, and other voluminous materials. All of this discovery							
27	has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.							
28	b) Counsel for defendants of	lesires additional time consult with his/her client, review						

5

6

8

11

15

14

17

16

18

19 20

21

22 ///

///

///

23

24 ///

25

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

the voluminous discovery, conduct independent investigation, and pursue a potential pretrial resolution of the case.

- c) Counsel for defendants believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- d) The parties also need the additional time to negotiate pretrial resolutions and draft plea agreements.
  - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of 1/22/2025 to 5/14/2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(i) (ii), and (iv) because it is so unusual or so complex, due to the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established by this section and because failure to grant the continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, would unreasonably deny the defendant or the Government continuity of counsel, or would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

2

## Case 1:24-cr-00033-NODJ-BAM Document 78 Filed 01/13/25 Page 3 of 4

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the						
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial						
3	must commence.						
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.						
5							
6	Dated: January 13, 2025	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney					
7		/ / HIGTRI L CH IO					
8		/s/ JUSTIN J. GILIO JUSTIN J. GILIO					
9		Assistant United States Attorney					
10							
11	Dated: January 13, 2025	/s/ Nicholas Reyes Nicholas Reyes					
12		Counsel for Defendant JOSE DUENAS					
13		JOSE DUENAS					
14	Dated: January 13, 2025	/s/ Anthony Capozzi					
15		Anthony Capozzi  Counsel for Defendant					
16		HECTOR DUENAS					
17	Dated: January 13, 2025	/s/ Roger Wilson					
18	Buted: Validary 13, 2023	Roger Wilson					
19		Counsel for Defendant EDUARDA MENDOZA					
20		SANCHEZ					
21	Dated: January 13, 2025	/s/ Steven Crawford					
22	,	Steven Crawford Counsel for Defendant					
23		ANTHONY VARELA					
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

## Case 1:24-cr-00033-NODJ-BAM Document 78 Filed 01/13/25 Page 4 of 4


IT IS SO ORDERED that the status conference is continued from January 22, 2025, to **May 14, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 8 before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe**. Time is excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(i) (ii), and (iv).

**ORDER** 

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 13, 2025

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE